

PLANNING COMMITTEE

WEDNESDAY, 3 AUGUST 2011

DECISIONS

Set out below is a summary of the decisions taken at the meeting of the Planning Committee held on Wednesday, 3 August 2011. The wording used does not necessarily reflect the actual wording that will appear in the minutes.

If you have any queries about any matters referred to in this decision sheet please contact Ian Senior, 03450 450 500.

1. **S/1631/10 - SWAVESEY, SCOTLAND DROVE, ROSE AND CROWN ROAD,**
The Committee noted that this application had been withdrawn from the agenda.
2. **S/0010/11 - COTTENHAM, 4&5 PINE LANE, SMITHY FEN**
The Committee refused the application, contrary to the recommendation in the report from the Corporate Manager (Planning and New Communities). Members agreed the reasons for refusal as being:
 1. visual harm due to the cumulative impact of development at Smithy Fen as a whole
 2. the loss of an amenity area were this application to be granted consent
 3. no evidence of need
 4. Undermining the Council's intentions for Smithy Fen in the emerging Gypsy and Traveller Development Plan Document.An Informative would be attached to the Decision Notice reflecting Members' concern about flood risk.
3. **S/1263/09/F - CALDECOTE, HIGHFIELDS COURT, HIGHFIELDS**
The Committee refused the application contrary to the recommendation in the report from the Corporate Manager (Planning and New Communities). Members agreed the reasons for refusal as being adverse impact on the character of the area, and loss of an amenity area were the application to be granted consent.
4. **S/1020/11 AND 1042/11 - RAMPTON, LANTERN HOUSE**
The Committee refused both applications contrary to the recommendation in the report from the Corporate Manager (Planning and New Communities). Members agreed the reason for refusal of application S/1042/11 as being that demolition could not be justified in the absence of an appropriate alternative scheme. Members agreed the reason for refusal of application S/1020/11 as being adverse impact on highway safety and the proposal's failure either to enhance or preserve the Conservation Area.
5. **S/0912/11 - LT GRANSDEN, FULLERS HILL FARM,**
The Committee approved the application, contrary to the recommendation in the report from the Corporate Manager (Planning and New Communities), subject to safeguarding Conditions including a Condition regulating the roof lights' appearance. Members agreed the reason for approval as being that the proposal's benefit outweighed any planning harm that might be caused to the Grade II Listed Building known as Fullers Hill Farmhouse. The proposal was not considered therefore to conflict with Policies CH/3 or CH/4 of the South Cambridgeshire Local Development Framework 2007 or with policies contained within Planning Policy Statement 5.

- 6. S/1027/11 - HARSTON, 44 HIGH STREET**
The Committee deferred this application for a site visit.
- 7. S/2079/10 - LONGSTANTON, GARAGES AND 18&19 HADDOWS CLOSE**
The Committee approved the application (as amended by plans HCL-PL-01-RevII, HCL-PL-06, HCL-PL-07 and HCL-PL-08 date stamped 10 June 2011), subject to the Conditions set out in the report from the Corporate Manager (Planning and New Communities) and additional Conditions recommended by the Environment Agency intended to address flooding concerns.
- 8. S/1728/10 - MELDRETH, 32 STATION ROAD**
The Committee approved the application subject to relocation of the toilet block, the Conditions set out in Appendix D to the report from the Corporate Manager (Planning and New Communities) (the report to Planning Committee on 6 April 2010) and to the further Conditions set out in the Update report from the Corporate Manager (Planning and New Communities).
- 9. S/0984/11 - MILTON, LAND ADJACENT TO LEA COURT, COLES RD**
The Committee approved the application subject to the Conditions set out in the report from the Corporate Manager (Planning and New Communities), Condition 2 being amended to make additional reference to approved plan 22. An Informative would be attached to the Decision Notice seeking to preserve access to the development site in order to maintain utility and drainage pipes serving Pembroke Court.
- 10. S/1016/11 - MILTON, 17 PEARSON CLOSE**
The Committee refused the application contrary to the recommendation in the report from the Corporate Manager (Planning and New Communities). Members agreed the reasons for refusal as being that the proposal was too cramped and was out of keeping with the character and design of Pearson Close.
- 11. S/0919/11 - CONINGTON, 2 ELSWORTH ROAD**
The Committee refused the application for the reason set out in the report from the Corporate Manager (Planning and New Communities).
- 12. S/0537/11 & S/0539/11 - ABINGTON PIGGOTTS, HOMESIDE**
The Committee refused both applications S/0537/11 and S/0539/11 for the reason set out in the report from the Corporate Manager (Planning and New Communities).
- 13. S/0992/11 - LITTLE WILBRAHAM, REED COTTAGE, 1 RECTORY FARM ROAD**
The Committee approved the application, as amended by e-mail dated 7 July 2011, subject to the Conditions set out in the report from the Corporate Manager (Planning and New Communities).
- 14. S/2059/10/F - OVER, HADEN WAY**
The Committee approved the application subject to the Conditions set out in the report from the Corporate Manager (Planning and New Communities).
- 15. S/0978/11 - COTON, 75 THE FOOTPATH**
The Committee approved the application contrary to the recommendation in the report from the Corporate Manager (Planning and New Communities). Members agreed the reason for approval as being that the proposal was not out of character with its surroundings and would not dominate the street scene.

